



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

AAREFAH MOSAVI,
Plaintiff,

v.

MT. SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE,
CHESTER BROWN; LORRAINE
JONES; in her individual and official
capacities; JAMES P. CZAJA, in his
individual and official capacities;
WILLIAM T. SCROGGINS, in his
individual and official capacities;
BAILEY SMITH, in her individual and
official capacities; and DOES 1-10,
inclusive,
Defendants.

Case No. CV 15-4147-VAP (AFMx)

**FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO ALL
CLAIMS AND PARTIES**

This action was filed on June 3, 2015. All claims have now been resolved,
as follows:

All claims against Defendants Mt. San Antonio College, Lorraine Jones,
James P. Czaja, William T. Scroggins, and Bailey Smith were dismissed by the
Court's Order re: Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, issued on May 10,
2018, by which the Court dismissed Plaintiff's First, Third, Seventh, Tenth,
Eleventh, and Twelfth Claims for Relief (Docket No. 142), and the Court's Order
Granting Summary Judgment to Defendants as to Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for

1 Relief, issued on June 1, 2018, by which the Court dismissed Plaintiff's Fourth
2 Claim for Relief. (Docket No. 154).

3 The claims against Defendant Chester Brown came on regularly for trial on
4 August 7, 2018, in Courtroom 8A of this United States District Court. Plaintiff
5 was represented by Ronald Cruz, Esq. and Shanta Driver, Esq., of United for
6 Equality and Affirmative Action Legal Defense Fund. Defendant Chester Brown
7 was represented by Martin Leonard Carpenter, Esq., and Joseph Anthony Gordon,
8 Esq. of Carpenter, Rothans & Dumont, LLP.

9 A jury of eight persons was regularly empaneled and sworn. Witnesses
10 were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel,
11 the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the case was submitted to the jury.
12 The jury deliberated and returned to Court with its verdict on August 10, 2018.
13 The verdict read as follows:

14
15 **BANE ACT – BODILY INTEGRITY**
16

17 **Question No. 1:** Did Defendant Chester Brown act violently against Plaintiff
18 Aarefah Mosavi for exercising her right to be secure in her person and protected
19 from bodily restraint, harm, or personal insult?

20 Answer:

21 ☐ Yes ☒ No
22

23 **Question No. 2:** Did Defendant Chester Brown retaliate against Plaintiff
24 Aarefah Mosavi for having exercised her right to be secure in her person and
25 protected from bodily restraint, harm, or personal insult?

26 Answer:

27 ☐ Yes ☒ No
28

1 **RALPH ACT**

2 **Question No. 6:** Did Defendant Chester Brown commit a violent act against
3 Plaintiff Aarefah Mosavi?

4 Answer:

5 ☐ Yes ☒ No

6
7 **SEXUAL BATTERY**

8 **Question No. 11:** Did Defendant Chester Brown intend to cause a harmful or
9 offensive contact with Plaintiff Aarefah Mosavi's sexual organs, groin, buttocks or
10 breast and a sexually offensive contact resulted?

11 Answer:

12 ☐ Yes ☒ No

13
14 **BANE ACT – RELIGIOUS HARASSMENT**

15 **Question No. 15:** Did Defendant Chester Brown act violently against Plaintiff
16 Aarefah Mosavi to prevent her from exercising or to retaliate against her from
17 having exercised her right to practice her religion by wearing a hajib?

18 Answer:

19 ☐ Yes ☒ No

20
21 **PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

22 **Question No. 19:** If you answered “Yes” to Question Nos. 4, 9, 13, **or** 17 **or** any
23 combination of Question Nos. 4, 9, 13, or 17, do you find by clear and convincing
24 evidence that Defendant acted with malice, oppression, or fraud?

25 Answer:

26 ☐ Yes ☒ No

1 Now, therefore, pursuant to Rules 54 and 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil
2 Procedure, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that
3 final judgment in this action be entered as follows:

- 4 1. Judgment on all claims is entered in favor of Defendants and Plaintiff
5 shall take nothing by her Second Amended Complaint.
6 2. Defendants are awarded their costs as provided by law.

7
8 Dated: August 28, 2018



9 VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS
10 Chief United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28